REMARKS

Claims 1, 4-10, 17-19, 22-27, 29-30, 32-33 and 35 currently appear in this application. The Office Action of July 13, 2005, has been carefully studied. These claims define novel and unobvious subject matter under Sections 102 and 103 of 35 U.S.C., and therefore should be allowed.

Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration, entry of the present amendment, and formal allowance of the claims.

Art Rejections

Claims 17-19, 25-26, 31 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neurath et al. as evidenced by Schirmbeck et al.

This rejection is respectfully traversed. The Examiner states that claim 17, and claims 18-19, 25-26 and 35, which depend upon claim 17, unlike amended claim 8, are said not to clearly indicated that the biologically active molecule and the immunostimulating molecule are not the same. Claim 17 has now been amended to recite that the immunostimulating molecule is -in addition to the biologically active molecule--. It is believed that this amendment overcomes the rejection of claim 17, and thus of claims 18-19, 25-26 and 35 as well.

Appln. No. 09/241,595 Amd. dated October 13, 2005 Reply to Office Action of July 13, 2005

Claim 31 has been cancelled by the present amendment.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-10, 27, 29-30 and 32-33 are considered free of the prior art of record and allowable at this time.

Claims 22-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 22-24 depend from claim 17, which has now been amended to recite that the composition contains, "in addition to the biologically active molecule" an immunostimulating molecule. It is believed that claim 17 is now allowable, so there is no need to rewrite claims 22-24.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance, and favorable action thereon is earnestly solicited.

Appln. No. 09/241,595 Amd. dated October 13, 2005 Reply to Office Action of July 13, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant

By:

Anne M. Kornbau

Registration No. 25,884

AMK:srd

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528 G:\BN\C\cohn\Reimann1\Pto\AMD13OCT05.doc